

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,733	01/16/2004	David R. Dodds	2003P54372US/I331.127.101	6368
7590 03/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Dicke, Billig & Czaja, PLLC			HYEON, HAE M	
Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250 100 South Fifth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402			2839	···

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A·H		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	—— I\ 		
,	10/758,733	DODDS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hae M. Hyeon	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a loon. It is a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 March 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for a			its is		
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.				
.5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-10 and 13-20</u> is/are reject					
7) Claim(s) <u>3-5,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex					
,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P1O-13)2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have beer	received in this National Stag	е		
application from the International E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on March 7, 2005. These drawings are approved.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3, line 1 recites, "the molded plastic button." Since claim 2 reciting "the molded plastic button" has been changed to - the colored plastic button --, the examiner suggests the applicant to amend claim 3 the same as claim 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8, line 2 recites that the housing has an engagement feature, but it is not clear what is the engagement feature because claim 8 does not recite what is being engaged with the engagement feature. The examiner suggests the applicant to insert -- transceiver module -- in front of "engagement feature."

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 6-10, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahrens et al (6,789,958 B2) in view of Schwer (5,741,153).

Ahrens discloses a data transmission system comprising a transceiver module 400 with a housing 410, a cage 160, a printed circuit board 130, and an indicator 460. The cage 160 is fixed to the printed circuit board 130. The cage 160 has an opening 165 and a latch 170 adjacent the opening 165. The housing 410 of the transceiver module 400 is placed into the opening 165 of the cage 160 and is retained within the cage 160 by the latch 170. The transceiver module is removable from the cage 160 by deflecting the latch 170 with a release mechanism 450. The release mechanism 450 is coupled to the housing 410 and is separate from the indicator 460. The indicator 460 is secured to the transceiver module 400 and is shaped to form at least a portion of a receptacle 412 of the transceiver module 400. While Ahrens teaches putting a suitable message on a curved surface 465 of the indicator 460, Ahrens does not disclose putting a color for mode indication of the transceiver module. Also, the indicator 460 of Ahrens is not a stationary attachment to a corresponding transceiver module engagement feature. However, the use of color coding is well known. Also, it is common knowledge that any desired information could be assigned to the color coding by a user or a designer.

Art Unit: 2839

Schwer discloses modular connectors 10 including a plastic button (mode indicator) having an engagement feature configured for stationary attachment to the modular connectors 10 and for indicating a port number and/or type.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the indicator taught by Ahrens such that it would have a stationary indicator as taught by Schwer because it only deals with making the indicator separate from a transceiver module. It has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179. Furthermore, color coding is well known and it is commonly knowledge that any desired information could be assigned to a color coding by a user or a designer.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-5, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

9. It is unnecessary, however, that inventions of references be physically combinable to render obvious an applicant's invention. *In re Sneed*, 710 F.2d 1544, 1550, 218 USPQ 385, 389 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of another reference but what the combined teachings of those references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 425, 208 USPQ 871,881 (CCPA 1981).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hae M. Hyeon whose telephone number is 571-272-2093. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hae M Hyeon Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

hmh hmh

Hae Moon Hyeon